



0000174295

ORIGINAL

Memorandum

From the office of
Chairman Doug Little
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-0745

TO: Docket Control

DATE: October 27, 2016

FROM: Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT: SSVEC E-01575A-15-0312

RECEIVED
AZ CORP COM
DOCKET CONTROL
2016 OCT 27 PM 3 03

Chairman Little's office received 18 emails referencing, and in support of, the above docket number. The correspondence can be viewed in Docket, or on the Commission website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

OCT 27 2016

DOCKETED BY	<i>[Signature]</i>
-------------	--------------------

Andrea Gaston

From: Gene Manring <gene_helen@cox.net>
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 4:04 PM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: RE: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312

Dear Commissioners:

The proposal presented by SSVEC, the ACC staff and the judge is the ideal solution for the future. Members of SSVEC were swamped with Solar sales people to install rooftop solar panels based on a 20 year lease that will save you electric generation costs from 60% to 80% on your electric bill. Some of these were plumbing companies trying to expand their business. Some were knowledgeable on proper installation, others were not. Buyers were advised to buy more panels than they needed to reduce their generation costs based on average monthly usage for a year. When the ACC mandated that Net metering would be used and solar buyers paid at retail rate for any excess generation, it started pushing the ball in the wrong direction for cooperative members to pay for all other costs of doing business on an equal basis.

Please accept and approve the proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff and the judge. This will equalize the costs of doing business as a not for profit cooperative for all of the member/owners.

Thank you,

Gene Manring
3722 Maya Court
Sierra Vista, Arizona 85650
520-378-6716

Andrea Gaston

From: Tricia Dunham <dannyandtrish4@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 4:02 PM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Sincerely,

Patricia Dunham
SSVEC Customer

Andrea Gaston

From: jwilliams45@frontier.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 4:17 PM
Subject: Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Respectfully,

John Williams

Andrea Gaston

From: Daniel Wilson <wilsondw6@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 5:29 PM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Andrea Gaston

From: Jason Bowling <pastafarianjb@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 6:30 PM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Andrea Gaston

From: Mark Roll <mroll2b@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:45 PM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: Support SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

I as a member of SSVEC agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Best Regards,

Mark Roll

Sent from my iPhone

Andrea Gaston

From: Tomas Macias <tomas.macias777@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 6:23 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

--

*Tomas & Stephanie Macias
2217 Carmelita Drive
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635
520-378-2029*

Andrea Gaston

From: Chuck Terrell <ct_47@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 7:28 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Thank you for your time.

Andrea Gaston

From: Daniel Myers <myers63b@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 7:47 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

I agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

DANIEL L. MYERS
MSN myers63b@hotmail.com
Home 520-378-5139
Cell 520-234-8385

Andrea Gaston

From: Clay Cooke <clay.cooke77@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 8:06 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Thank you,
Clay Cooke

Andrea Gaston

From: Lisa Tomerlin <ltomerlin77@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 8:21 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the commission staff, as well as the judges recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E – 01575A – 15–0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to except this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperatives members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Thank you for your consideration,

Lisa Tomerlin

Andrea Gaston

From: agueda guerrero <agueda_guerrero@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 8:25 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Andrea Gaston

From: Cossetti Durazo <cossettidurazo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 8:41 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Best Regards,

Cossetti Durazo

Andrea Gaston

From: Karl Berryhill <bigkr75@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 9:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Karl Berryhill Pomerene, AZ

Andrea Gaston

From: Karl Berryhill <bigkr75@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 9:58 AM
To: Little-Web
Subject: Support SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Karl Berryhill Pomerene, AZ

Andrea Gaston

From: Katie Barrera <krbarrera@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 11:43 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

SSVEC needs your support - If you are truly concerned about the well being of Arizonans then you must support SSVEC and protect the member of this small Coop.

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Katherine Barrera

Cochise County Resident and VOTER

Andrea Gaston

From: Bev Bowman <bbow01@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 10:16 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.

Beverly Bowman

Andrea Gaston

From: William Lorta <wlbliv@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 10:09 AM
To: Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web
Subject: SUPPORT SSVEC

Dear Commissioners:

Please support the position of SSVEC, the Commission staff, as well as the judge's recommendation that protects members in Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's docket E-01575A-15-0312.

We agree that increasing the monthly minimum while decreasing the kWh charge is the best way to protect all SSVEC's members and prevent the cost shift between those who don't have solar and those who do.

I urge you to accept this proposal by SSVEC, the ACC staff, and the Judge. Please protect the interests of the not for profit cooperative's members and not cave in to out of state special interest solar lobby, who are only looking out for their bottom line.